MAGIC gamma-ray and multifequency observations of flat spectrum radio quasar PKS 1510-089 in early 2012
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ABSTRACT

Aims. Among more than fifty blazars detected in very high energy (VHE, E > 100 GeV) γ-rays, only three belong to the subclass of Flat Spectrum Radio Quasars (FSRQs). The detection of FSRQs in the VHE range is challenging, mainly because of their soft spectra in the GeV-TeV regime. MAGIC observed PKS 1510-089 (z = 0.36) starting 2012 February 3 until April 3 during a high activity state in the high energy (HE, E > 100 MeV) γ-ray band observed by AGILE and Fermi. MAGIC observations result in the detection of a source with significance of 6.0 standard deviations (σ). We study the multifequency behaviour of the source at the epoch of MAGIC observation, collecting quasi-simultaneous data at radio and optical (GASP-WEBT and F-Gamma collaborations, REM, Steward, Perkins, Liverpool, OVRO and VLBA telescopes), X-ray (Swift satellite) and HE γ-ray frequencies.

Methods. We study the VHE γ-ray emission together with the multifequency light curves, 43 GHz radio maps and spectral energy distribution (SED) of the source. The quasi-simultaneous multifequency SED from the millimeter radio band to VHE γ-rays is modelled with a one-zone inverse Compton model. We study two different origins of the seed photons for the inverse Compton scattering, namely the infra-red torus and a slow sheath surrounding the jet along the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) core.

Results. We find that the VHE γ-ray emission detected from PKS 1510-089 in February-April 2012 is in agreement with the previous VHE observations of the source from March-April 2009. We find no statistically significant variability during the MAGIC observations in daily, weekly or monthly time scales, while the other two known VHE FSRQs (3C 279 and PKS 1222+216) have shown daily scale to sub-hour variability. The γ-ray SED combining AGILE, Fermi and MAGIC data joins smoothly and shows no hint of a break. The multifequency light curves suggest a common origin for the millimeter radio and HE γ-ray emission and the HE γ-ray flaring starts when the new component is ejected from the 43 GHz VLBA core and the studied SED models fit the data well. However, the fast HE γ-ray variability requires that within the modelled large emitting region, there must exist more compact regions. We suggest that these observed signatures would be most naturally explained by a turbulent plasma flow at a relativistic speed down the jet and crossing a standing conical shock.
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1. Introduction

The most numerous extragalactic very high energy (VHE, E>100GeV) γ-ray sources are blazars, which are Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) with a relativistic jets pointing close to our line of sight. Within the blazar group the most numerous VHE γ-ray emitters are X-ray bright BL Lacertae objects (BL Lacs) while only three blazars of the Flat Spectrum Radio Quasars (FSRQ) type have been detected to emit VHE γ-rays.

Blazars typically show variable emission in all wavebands from radio to γ-rays. FSRQs are more luminous than BL Lacs at γ rays and so they could, in principle, be observed at greater distances at very high energies. The SEDs of both types of sources show two peaks, the first peak is generally attributed to synchrotron emission and the second one to inverse Compton scattering, though hadronic mechanisms have also been proposed for producing the second peak (see e.g. Bottcher et al., 2009). In FSRQs the first peak is usually in the infrared regime, while for BL Lacs it is between infrared and hard X-rays. The optical spectra of FSRQs show broad emission lines, indicative of high velocity gas in the so-called broad line region (BLR) close (0.1 to 1 parsec) to the central engine (e.g. Kasp et al., 2000), while BL Lacs show very weak or no emission lines in their spectra. Because of these properties FSRQs were not thought to be good candidates to emit VHE γ rays: the low synchrotron peak frequency may imply efficient synchrotron cooling, which makes it difficult to produce VHE γ-ray emission. Additionally, if the γ-rays are produced close to the central engine, the BLR clouds absorb the γ-ray emission via pair production. The large redshift also implies strong absorption of VHE γ-rays by the extragalactic background light (EBL; Stecker et al., 1992; Hauser & Dwek, 2001). Despite these difficulties, MAGIC detected VHE γ-rays from the FSRQ 3C 279 (z=0.536) in 2006 (Albert et al., 2008a). This discovery was followed by a second detection in 2007 (Aleksić et al., 2011b) and the detection of two other FSRQs PKS 1510-089 (z=0.36) by H.E.S.S. (Abramowski et al., 2013) in 2009 and PKS 1222+216 (z=0.432) in 2010 (Aleksić et al., 2011a). In this paper we report the detection of VHE γ-rays from PKS 1510-089 in February-April 2012 (Cortina, 2012) by the MAGIC telescopes.

The standard picture for FSRQs is that the γ rays are emitted close to the central black hole (so called “near-dissipation zone”), where the external photons from BLR can serve as seed photons for IC scattering (e.g. Hartman et al., 2000). This picture was already challenged in the EGRET era by the observations of a connection between radio outburst and γ-ray flares (e.g. Jorstad et al., 2001; Lahenmäki & Valtaoja, E., 2003; Lindfors et al., 2006). The observations of VHE γ-rays from FSRQs have further challenged the “near-dissipation zone” emission scenario (see e.g. Aleksić et al., 2011b), because in order to produce the observed VHE γ-ray flux, the MeV γ-ray flux would have to be much higher than observed. Moreover, the combined HE to VHE γ-ray spectrum does not show a break at a few tens of GeV as would be expected if the γ rays originated inside the BLR (e.g. Tavecchio & Mazzin, 2009). In addition, at least in some cases (3C 279 in 2007 and PKS 1222+216 in 2010), the VHE γ-ray detections were coincident with zero-separation epochs of new knots emerging from the 43GHz Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) core (Larionov et al., 2008; Jorstad et al., 2012; Marscher et al., 2012), suggesting that VHE γ rays could be emitted in these knots, tens of parsecs away from the central engine. Arguments for and against the “near-dissipation zone” are systematically discussed in e.g. Sikora et al. (2009). In general, the main argument against emission originating far away from the central engine has been the fast variability observed in γ-rays. However, the recent model by Marscher (2014) where relativistic turbulent plasma crosses a standing shock, could potentially explain both the observed radio-gamma connection and the fast variability of γ-rays.

PKS 1510-089 is a γ-ray bright quasar, whose jet exhibits one of the fastest apparent motions (up to 45c) among all blazars (Jorstad et al., 2005). It was discovered in HE γ-rays by EGRET, but no variability was detected (Hartman et al., 1999), while in the AGILE and Fermi era it has shown several flaring epochs. In particular, it showed bright flares at radio, optical, X-ray and HE γ-ray energies at the beginning of 2009 (Marscher et al., 2010; Abdo et al., 2010; D’Ammando et al., 2011). The discovery of VHE γ-rays from PKS 1510-089 also took place in this period, displaying a rather low flux (F(>150GeV)=+(1.0±0.2(stat)±0.2(sys))·10^{-11} cm^{-2} s^{-1} in 3-20% of Crab Nebula flux) and a very soft spectrum (with photon index, Γ = 5.4±0.7(stat)±0.3(sys) Abramowski et al., 2013). In HE γ-rays this outburst consisted of several flares. In X-rays flaring was moderate and not correlated with the γ-ray flaring, but the last γ-ray flare was accompanied by a large optical outburst (reaching a peak flux of 18 mJy in the R-band while the quiescent level flux is typically ~ 2 mJy) and a large radio outburst (reaching a maximum of 4 Jy, 1-2Jy being the normal quiescent state flux at 37 GHz). During the γ-ray flares the optical electric vector position angle (EVPA) rotated by > 720° and during the major optical flare, the optical polarisation degree increased to > 30%. In the 43GHz VLBA maps a superluminal knot emerged from the VLBA core with a zero-separation epoch essentially identical with this sharp optical flare. Marscher et al. (2010) concluded that the γ-ray flaring activity was taking place in a knot seen in the VLBA images at later times, placing the emission region distant from the central engine. This and the variable synchrotron to γ-ray ratio require that there are local sources of seed photons for inverse Compton scattering within or just outside the jet (e.g. a slow sheath of a jet). In contrast, based on the ratio between optical and γ-ray variability Abdo et al. (2010) concluded that the γ-ray emission favors an external Compton model where the seed photons are provided by the BLR clouds.

In summer and autumn 2011 the source again showed activity in several bands. First, in 2011 July, there were optical and HE γ-ray flares accompanied by the rotation of the EVP A by > 380° (Orienti et al., 2013). In autumn 2011 PKS 1510-089 underwent the brightest radio flare ever observed from the source and there was associated high activity in the HE γ-ray band. The flare was accompanied by the appearance of a new component in the VLBA jet at 15 GHz (Orienti et al., 2013) and by extremely fast γ-ray variability with time scales down to 20 minutes (e.g. Saito et al., 2013; Foschini et al., 2013). Unfortunately, during this period the source was not observable for ground based optical and γ-ray instruments.

In February 2012 PKS 1510-089 showed again high activity in HE γ-rays (Lucarelli et al., 2012). This triggered observations of the source with the MAGIC telescopes which resulted with a significant detection of VHE γ-rays (Cortina, 2012; De Caneva et al., 2012). The results from the MAGIC observations (Section 2) are presented together with HE γ-ray data from AGILE and Fermi (Section 3), X-ray data from Swift (Section 4), near infrared, optical and ultraviolet (Section 5) and radio observations (Section 6) from several instruments. A subset of the data presented here have been previously presented in Lindfors et al. (2013), while in this paper we present the full analysis of the multifrequency behaviour of the source during
February-April 2012 and compare it with the previous flaring epochs of PKS 1510-089.

2. MAGIC VHE γ-ray observations, data analysis and results

2.1. Observations and data analysis

MAGIC is a system of two 17 m diameter Imaging Air Cherenkov Telescopes (IACTs) located at the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory on La Palma, one of the Canary Islands (28°46′ N, 17°53.4′ W at 2231 m a.s.l.). The large collection area of the telescopes and the advanced observational techniques enables us to reach a low energy threshold of 50 GeV (in a normal stereo trigger mode) at low zenith angles. In late 2011 the telescope readout system was upgraded and replaced (Sitarek et al., 2013). The MAGIC target of opportunity (ToO) observations of PKS 1510–089 were carried out from 2012 February 3 to April 3 (MJD 55960-56020). During 28 nights ~25 hours of data were taken with the stereo trigger, of which 21.4 hours data passed quality selection. The data were collected at zenith angles between 37° and 49°. The telescopes were operated with the false source tracking method (Fomin et al., 1999), the so-called wobble mode, in which the pointing direction counterchanges every 20 minutes between four sky positions at 0.4° offset with respect to the source position. Four wobble positions improves the background statistics, since three OFF positions can be sampled which reduces the impact of inhomogeneities in the camera acceptance.

We analysed the data in the MARS analysis framework (Moralejo et al., 2009). The images were processed using a cleaning algorithm that accounts for timing information (Aliu et al., 2009). The criteria for selecting events were 8 and 4 photo-electrons, respectively. These are different from those used for the standard analyses done before the upgrade of the readout (the details are described in Aleksic et al., 2012) mainly due to the different noise level of the new readout system. The random forest (RF) method was used for the gamma-hadron separation (Albert et al., 2008a) using both mono and stereoscopic parameters. The reconstructed shower arrival direction of each telescope was calculated with the RF DISP method (Aleksic et al., 2010), and the weighted mean of the closest pair among the reconstructed DISP positions is regarded as the final reconstructed position.

2.2. Results

The distributions of squared angular distances between the reconstructed source position and the nominal source position in the camera, the so-called $\theta^2$ plot, is shown in Fig. 1. The number of background events was extracted from the three OFF regions which were symmetrical relative to the pointing position. Above the normalized background events, an excess of 539 γ rays was found. The significance of a signal detection was evaluated following Equation (17) of Li & Ma (1983). We found a corresponding significance of 6σ from the 21.4 hours observational data. The observation at high zenith angle had a somewhat higher energy threshold of 120 GeV, determined from the Monte Carlo rate with an assumed photon index of 4.0.

To derive the energy spectrum of PKS 1510–089 the unfolding procedure (Albert et al., 2007) was performed to correct for a distortion introduced by the detector which has a finite resolution and biases. Moreover, absorption by e+e− pair creation due to the interaction with the EBL photons was also corrected for through the same unfolding process, using one of the several state-of-the-art EBL model (Dominguez et al., 2011).

We found that different unfolding methods gave consistent results, and the energy spectrum before the EBL correction can be well reproduced by a power law

$$dF/dE = F_0 \left(\frac{E}{200 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{-\Gamma},$$

where $F_0 = (5.6\pm1.1_{\text{stat}}\pm1.3_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1}$ and $\Gamma = 4.0\pm0.4_{\text{stat}}\pm0.3_{\text{sys}}$ are the flux constant at 200 GeV and the photon index, respectively. As PKS 1510–089 is a very weak, steep spectrum VHE source the systematic errors are larger than the ones evaluated in Aleksic et al. (2012). The systematic error in the energy scale is 17% as in Aleksic et al. (2012). Fig. 2 shows the differential energy spectra of PKS 1510–089 measured by MAGIC in 2012. The fitted function and its one sigma error range displayed as the shaded regions were obtained through the forward unfolding, and the spectral points were derived using the Bertero unfolding method (Bertero, 1989). The spectrum extends up to ~400 GeV. The integral flux above 120 GeV was estimated to be 4% of the Crab Nebula’s flux. After the correction for the EBL attenuation the spectrum is still well fitted by a power law with an intrinsic photon index of $\Gamma_{\text{int}} = 2.8\pm0.6_{\text{stat}}$. The flux and spectrum are in agreement with those observed by H.E.S.S. in March-April 2009 (Abramowski et al., 2013).

The γ-ray flux variability above 200 GeV was studied on both daily and weekly time scales. The mean flux above 200 GeV of PKS 1510–089 in this period was $F>200$ GeV)=5.6±0.9×10^{-12} cm^{-2} s^{-1}. The reduced $\chi^2$ of the fit with a constant flux is $\chi^2/n_{\text{ dof}} = 40.5/24 (2\sigma)$ for daily and $\chi^2/n_{\text{ dof}} = 7.7/4 (1.3\sigma)$ for weekly light curve, consistent with no statistically significant variability. Following the method used in Aleksic et al. (2013) we also estimated how much variability could be hidden in the data. We derived a 3σ confidence level upper limit for individual nights/weeks and compared it to the observed mean flux adopting the night-to-night systematic error of 12% (Aleksic et al., 2012). We found that variability of a fac-
AGILE was operated in “pointing observing mode”, characterised by long observations called Observation Blocks (OBs), typically of 2-4 weeks duration. Since 2009 November 4, following a malfunction of the rotation wheel, AGILE is operating in “spinning observing mode”, surveying a large fraction (about 70%) of the sky each day. Thanks to its sky monitoring capability and fast ground segment alert system distributed among the AGILE Data Center (ADC) and the AGILE Team Institutes, AGILE is very effective in detecting bright γ-ray flares from blazars.

Data were analysed applying the AGILE Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis on the PKS 1510-089 sky position, using the standard Level-3 AGILE-GRID archive at ADC. This archive is composed by counts, exposure and diffuse γ-ray background (Giuliani et al., 2004) maps generated on several timescales (1 day, 1 week, 28 days) from the official Level-2 data archives, publicly available at the ADC site [1]. Maps were generated for E > 100 MeV including all events collected up to 60° off-axis, excluding south Atlantic anomaly data, and by excluding regions within 10° from the Earth limb to reduce albedo contamination. The data have been processed with the latest available software and calibration[2]. For a general description of the AGILE data reduction and of the standard analysis pipeline see Pizzolato et al. (2009), Vercellone et al. (2010). Systematic errors of the AGILE ML analysis have been estimated to be ~10% of the flux values (Bulgarelli et al., 2013).

At the beginning of 2012, AGILE detected the PKS 1510-089 in a high state in two distinct periods: one at the end of January-beginning of February, and the other at the end of February-beginning of March. The AGILE-GRID (E>100 MeV) light curves covering the MAGIC observation of PKS 1510-089 from January to March (MJD 55960-56000), with 2 days time binning are shown together with the MWL light curves in Section 7.

The first high-state (Flare-I) triggered the AGILE alert system and 4 day quick-look results were reported in ATel #3907 (Verrecchia et al., 2012). Performing a refined ML analysis by optimizing the background estimates on the AGILE-GRID data covering the 7 day period from January 26 to February 2 (MJD 55952.5 to 55959.5), yields in a detection at a significance level of about 7σ. The Flare-I spectral analysis gives a photon index Γ = 2.17 ± 0.24 and a flux F(E > 100 MeV)=2.0 ± 0.5 × 10^{-6} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

The second flare (Flare-II), with higher γ-ray flux, was announced with ATel #3934 (Lucarelli et al., 2012). The source maintained its high state above 4.0×10^{-6} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1} for almost two weeks. We performed the AGILE ML analysis on this two-week period (from 2012 February 20 to 2012 March 05, MJD 55977.5 to 55991.5) obtaining a detection at a ~16 σ significance level. The corresponding spectral analysis provides a photon index Γ = 2.21 ± 0.11, consistent with that of Flare-I, but a higher flux F(E>100 MeV)=(4.4±0.5)×10^{-6} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

After 2012 March 9 (MJD 55995) the source went back to a low-flux state, with the source sky position approaching the border of field of view of AGILE, and after 2012 March 14 (MJD 56000) the AGILE daily effective exposure gradually decreased. The ML analysis over the 14 day period starting on 2012 March 14 (MJD 55998.5) gives the source at a significance level of around 6 σ, with a photon index Γ = 2.4±0.4 and an average flux F(E>100 MeV)=(1.8±0.5)×10^{-6} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

---

1. ADC pointing (sw=5_19_18) and spinning (sw=5_21_18) archives, from http://agile.asdc.asi.it
2. AGILE_SW_5.0_SourceCode from ADC website, with 10923 calibrations.
For comparison, we have identified one of the a typical low intermediate state of the source with γ-ray flux below $10^{-5}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, from 2011 July 4 to 2011 August 30 (MJD 55746.5 to 55803.5), and performed the AGILE ML analysis getting a photon index $\Gamma = 2.44 \pm 0.17$ and a flux $F(E>100 \text{ MeV}) = (0.91 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-8}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. AGILE results during the MAGIC observation period in 2012 and this low intermediate state are summarized in the Appendix A.

3.2. Fermi-LAT

Fermi-LAT (Large Area Telescope) is a pair conversion telescope designed to cover the energy band from 20 MeV to greater than 300 GeV (Atwood et al., 2009). In its primary observation strategy, survey mode, the LAT scans the entire sky every three hours and therefore can provide observations of PKS 1510-089 simultaneously to MAGIC.

PKS 1510–089 has been continuously monitored by Fermi and the data used for this analysis were collected from 2012 January 1 to April 7 (MJD 55927-56024). They were analysed with the standard analysis tool gtlike, part of the Fermi ScienceTools software package (version 09-27-01). Only good quality events within 10$^\circ$ of PKS 1510–089 were selected for analysis. Moreover, to reduce the contamination from the Earth-limb γ rays produced by cosmic ray interactions with the upper atmosphere, data were restricted to a maximal zenith angle of 100$^\circ$ and time periods when the spacecraft rocking angle exceeded 52$^\circ$ were excluded.

To extract the spectral information we used the standard background models provided by the publicly available files gal2year7v6x0/trim.fits and iso2v76source.txt. The background templates, whose normalizations were left free during the fitting process, take into account the diffuse gamma-ray emission from our Galaxy and an isotropic diffuse component. During the spectral fitting of the point source the normalizations of the components comprising the entire background model were allowed to vary freely. To derive the source spectral information an unbinned maximum likelihood technique was applied to events in the energy range from 100 MeV to 300 GeV (Mattox et al. [1996]) in combination with the post-launch instrument response functions P7SOURCE_V6. Sources from the 2FGL catalog (Nolan et al. [2012]) located within 15$^\circ$ of PKS 1510–089 were incorporated in the model of the region by setting the spectral models and the initial parameters for the modelling to those reported in the 2FGL catalog. In particular, the source of interest was modelled with a LogParabola spectrum $^{3}$

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = N_0 \left( \frac{E}{E_p} \right)^{-\left(\gamma + \log \left( \frac{E}{E_p} \right) \right)}$$

(2)

In the fitting procedure the parameters of sources located within a 10$^\circ$ radius centered on the source of interest were left free to vary while parameters of sources located within a 10$^\circ$–15$^\circ$ annulus were fixed. When performing the fit for the light curve and SED bins, the photon indices of the sources were frozen to the best-fit values obtained from a long-term analysis. Systematic uncertainties in LAT results due to uncertainties in the effective area are discussed in [Ackermann et al. [2012].

they are smaller than the statistical uncertainties of the points in the light curves and have been neglected.

The Fermi-LAT 1-day bin light curve is shown together with the multifrequency light curves in Section 7. Since the source is not always significantly resolved, flux upper limits at 95% confidence level were calculated for each time bin where the test statistic (TS) value for the source was TS < 25. The light curve shows that the flaring activity had a duration of about 55 days in γ rays and consisted of several distinct flares.

As PKS 1510-089 is known to show variability in timescales less than a day (Saito et al. [2013], Brown et al. [2013]) we also searched for shorter time scale of variability within the brightest flaring epoch 2012 February 17 to March 8 (MJD 55974-55994) and produced light curves in bins of 1.5 hours and 3 hours (the latter is shown in Fig. 3). We systematically looked at the light curves and calculated the doubling times ($t_d$) between significant (TS > 25) adjacent bins following $t_d = \Delta t \times \ln(2)/ \ln(F_{\text{max}}/F_{\text{min}})$. Excluding flux variations that were within 1σ and doubling times with errors larger than 50%, the shortest value that we derive for this period is $t_d = 1.5 \pm 0.6$ hr.

We considered the Fermi-LAT data of individual light-curve bins, fitting them with a power-law model in order to investigate spectral evolution in the HE range. In this analysis we do not find evidence for this behaviour, although we note that the source spectrum is better represented by the LogParabola shape in several time intervals, thus the power-law fit may not adequately reproduce the source spectral shape. Additionally it is apparent that during the high state, the spectral index is significantly harder than for the low state or mean state (see below).

The SED was obtained combining all events of time intervals coincident with the last two VHE detections, i.e. from February 19 to March 5 (MJD 55976-55991) and from March 15 to April 3 (MJD 56001-56020). For comparison we analysed the mean state in 2012 January-April (MJD 55927-56025), a low state SED which consists of the data taken in 2012 January and April (MJD 55927-55954 and 56007-56025) and a high state which consists of all time periods when the Fermi flux was > 6 $10^{-6}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The LogParabola model is significantly preferred (in the MAGIC observing epoch with 6σ significance and in the low state with 3σ) with respect to the power law in all the time intervals considered for this SED analysis. The detailed results are shown in Table 4.

3.3. Gamma-ray Results

We compared the results of the observations in HE and VHE γ rays. As discussed in previous sections, the HE γ-ray flux is variable in timescales shorter than day. Therefore it appears that fast variability can explain the small mismatches between daily fluxes of Fermi-LAT and 2-day fluxes by AGILE-GRID. These light curves are shown together with MWL light curves in Section 7. The variability amplitude of the HE γ-ray flux is rather large (more than one order of magnitude in flux) in the first MAGIC observing period (MJD 55976 to 55991). Still, within this period, MAGIC observed no statistically significant variability from the source. In Fig. 3, the Fermi-LAT light curve in three hour bins is shown. The vertical lines show the MAGIC observation times, revealing that the MAGIC observations missed all the periods of fast HE γ-ray variability and therefore it was to be expected that no fast variability would be detected in the

$^3$ http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/BackgroundModels.html

$^4$ http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/scitools/source_models.html
MAGIC observations. Apparently the MAGIC observations also missed the highest peaks of the HE γ-ray light curve. The maximum flux measured simultaneous to the MAGIC observations is \( F(>100\text{MeV}) \approx 8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) and the average of the strictly simultaneous bins is \( F(>100\text{MeV}) \approx 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \).

For the second MAGIC observation window in March-April (from MJD 56001 to 56020), fast variability could not be investigated because of the lower HE γ-ray state of the source. After March 23 (MJD 56009), the source was no longer detected on daily scales in HE γ rays, the daily upper limits being below \( 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). Therefore, in total, the HE γ-ray flux variability amplitude, within the windows strictly simultaneous to the MAGIC observing windows, was \( \approx 8 \) on nightly scales, which could go undetected in the MAGIC light curve given the overall low flux as discussed in section 2.2. It is therefore not possible to conclude if the lack of significant variability in the VHE γ-ray band has a real physical origin or if it is simply an observational bias (either due to unfortunate sampling or due to low photon statistics).

The SED of PKS 1510-089 from ~100 MeV to ~400 GeV is presented in Fig. 3. The HE γ-ray data from AGILE-GRID and Fermi-LAT cover slightly different periods (AGILE from MJD 55977.5 to 55991.5 and Fermi-LAT from MJD 55976 to 55991 and from 56001 to 56020). The AGILE-GRID data consist of flaring state data only while the Fermi-LAT spectrum summarizes all events of the time intervals coincident with the MAGIC observation window. As suggested by AGILE and confirmed by Fermi-LAT, the brighter states are characterised by a hardening of the HE spectrum, and therefore the higher flux observed by AGILE at 2 GeV is expected. The peak of the SED is at \( \sim 100 \text{MeV} \). The log parabola fit and the errors of the Fermi-LAT spectra have been extrapolated to the MAGIC energy range. We also show the extrapolation taking into account the EBL absorption using the model of Dominguez, et al. (2011). The VHE γ-ray spectrum observed by MAGIC connects smoothly with this extrapolation suggesting that the emission originates from the same region.

4. Swift X-ray observations, data analysis and results

The Swift satellite (Gehrels et al., 2004) performed 23 ToO observations on PKS 1510−089 between 2012 February 2 and April 5 (MJD 55959-56022), triggered by the strong activity of the source detected first by AGILE (Lucarelli et al., 2012) and Fermi-LAT at HE γ-ray energies, and then by MAGIC at TeV energies (Cortina, 2012). The observations were performed with all three onboard instruments: the X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al., 2005, 0.2–10.0 keV), the Ultraviolet Optical Telescope (UVOT; Roming et al., 2005, 170–600 nm), and the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Barthelmy et al., 2005, 15–150 keV).

For the Swift-XRT data analysis, we considered observations with exposure time longer than 500 seconds, including 20 observations. In addition we summed the data of the three observations performed on February 19 in order to have higher statistics. The XRT data were processed with standard procedures (xrtpipeline v0.12.6), filtering, and screening criteria by using the Heasoft package (v6.11). The source count rate was low during the entire campaign (< 0.5 counts s\(^{-1}\)), so we only considered photon counting data and further selected XRT event grades 0–12. Pile-up correction was not required. Source events were extracted from a circular region with a radius of 20 pixels (1 pixel \( \sim 2.36'' \)), while background events were extracted from a circular region with radius of 50 pixels away from the source region. The spectral redistribution matrices v013 in the Calibration database maintained by HEASARC were used.

The adopted energy range for spectral fitting is 0.3–10 keV. When the number of counts was less than 200 the Cash statistic (Cash, 1979) on ungrouped data was used. All the other spectra were rebinned with a minimum of 20 counts per energy bin to allow \( \chi^2 \) fitting within XSPEC (v12.6.0; Arnaud, 1996). We fitted the individual spectra with a simple absorbed power law, with a neutral hydrogen column density fixed to its Galactic value (6.89
Fig. 5. The flux (0.3–10 keV) versus photon index for Swift-XRT. Although there was only marginal X-ray variability during the observations, the plot shows a hint of harder when brighter trend.

\[
F(0.3–10 \text{ keV}) = 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \times \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\]

The fit results are reported in Table 5 in Appendix A.

During the observations Swift/XRT detected the source with a flux, \(F(0.3–10 \text{ keV})\), in the range \((0.7-1.2) \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\), comparable with the flux observed in March 2009, during a period of high HE \(\gamma\)-ray activity \(\text{[D’Ammando et al., 2011]}\), but lower with respect to the high flux level observed in August 2006 \(\text{[Kataoka et al., 2008]}\). The light curve is shown in Section 7, together with the MWL data.

The flux versus photon index plot is shown in Fig. 5. At higher flux the photon index seems to become harder. This behaviour is consistent with the harder when brighter trend reported in \(\text{[Kataoka et al., 2008]}\) and \(\text{[D’Ammando et al., 2011]}\).

We also investigated the Swift/BAT data using the Swift/BAT Hard X-ray Transient Monitor \(\text{[Krimm et al., 2013]}\). In the BAT data for January-April 2012 there is only a hint of signal (2.5\(\sigma\)) on 2012 February 9 (MJD 55966), with a rate of \((0.0033\pm0.0013)\) counts s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), corresponding to 15 mCrab in the 15-50 keV energy band. As a comparison, in 2009 March the high flux observed by BAT in hard X-ray was 40 mCrab \(\text{[D’Ammando et al., 2011]}\).

5. Ultraviolet, Optical, Near Infrared Observations, Data Analysis and Results

PKS 1510-089 is included in many ongoing optical blazar monitoring programs which provide good coverage from ultraviolet to infrared bands (Fig. 6). Polarimetric observations of the source were also performed. The participating observatories are described in Section 5.1-5.6 and the results of the optical observations are discussed in Section 5.7.

5.1. Ultraviolet and optical photometry UVOT

The UVOT covers the 180–600 nm wavelength range using filters: \(UVW2, UVW1, U, B, V\) \(\text{[Poole et al., 2008]}\). We reduced the Swift/UVOT data with the Heasoft package version 6.12 and the 20111031 release of the Swift/UVOTA CALDB. Multiple exposures in the same filter at the same epoch were summed with \text{uvotimsun}, and aperture photometry was then performed with the task \text{uvotsource}. Source counts were extracted from a circular region with a 5 arcsec radius centred on the source. Background counts were estimated in a surrounding annulus with inner and outer radii of 15 and 25 arcsec, respectively.

We also compiled SEDs for all 19 epochs for which observations in all the six UVOT filters were available. The \(A_\text{eff}\) and count-rate-to-flux conversion factors were derived by convolving the source spectrum with the effective areas of the UV filters. In the same way we calculated the Galactic extinction in the various bands, using the \text{Cardelli et al. [1989]} laws and setting \(R_V = 3.1\) and \(A_B = 0.416\) after \text{Schlegel et al. [1998]}.

The results were used to obtain de-reddened flux densities. Four out of the 19 SEDs (for the sake of clarity) were combined with the optical and IR data and are shown in Fig. 7. These epochs correspond to pre-outburst (2012 February 7, MJD 55964), two local maxima (2012 February 24, MJD 55981 and 2012 March 1, MJD 55987) and post-outburst (2012 March 26, MJD 56012) phases of the light curves.

5.2. Optical R-band photometry from KVA

PKS 1510-089 was observed as a part of the Tuorla blazar monitoring program\(\text{[6]}\), which provides optical support observations for the MAGIC telescopes and participates in the GASP-WEBT collaboration, with the KVA 35 cm telescope at Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma. The observations started on 2012 January 14 (MJD 55940) and after 2012 February 2 (MJD 55959), the source was observed every night, weather and moon conditions allowing. The data were reduced using the standard data analysis pipeline (Nilsson et al. in preparation) and the fluxes were measured with differential photometry, using the comparison stars from \text{Villata et al. [1997]}.

5.3. Optical photometry and polarisation from Steward and Perkins Observatories

Optical (4000-7550 \(\text{Å}\)) spectropolarimetry and differential spectrophotometry were performed at the Steward Observatory 2.3m Bok Telescope using the SPOL CCD Imaging/Spectropolarimeter. These observations were obtained as part of an ongoing monitoring program of gamma-ray-bright blazars in support of the \text{Fermi [7]}.

The observations took place on 2012 January 22-29, 2012 February 13-21 and 2012 March 21-28 (MJD 55948-55955, 55970-55978, 56007-56014). The data analysis pipeline is described in \text{Smith et al. [2009]}.

Polarimetric and photometric R-band observations were also provided by the 1.8 m Perkins telescope of Lowell Observatory equipped with PRISM (Perkins Reimaging System) in 2012 March. The data analysis was done following the standard procedures as in \text{Chatterjee et al. [2008]}.

---

\(\text{6} \) http://users.utu.fi/kani/1m

\(\text{7} \) http://james.as.arizona.edu/~psmith/Fermi
Because the EVPA has a ±180° × n (where n = 1, 2, ) ambiguity, we selected the values such that the differences between any two points were minimized. There was one data point (indicated by the vertical line in Fig. 6) which differed by ~ 90° from the previous observation; we thus selected the EVPA for this point which does not cause a change in the direction of rotation between the two points.

5.4. Optical and near infrared observations from GASP-WEBT

Additional R-band monitoring data were collected by the GLAST-AGILE support Program (GASP) of the Whole Earth Blazar Telescope (WEBT). These GASP observations of PKS 1510-089 were performed by the following observatories: Abastumani, Calar Alto, Crimean, Lulin, Rozhen, St. Petersburg and Teide. The source magnitude is calculated with respect to the reference stars 2-to-6 calibrated by Raiteri et al. (1998). The GASP near-infrared data were acquired in the J, H, and Ks bands with the IAC80 and Carlos Sanchez telescopes at Teide Observatory. Their calibration was performed using field stars with the most reliable photometry (signal to noise ratio S/N ≥ 10 and uncertainty σ < 0.11) in the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) catalog.

5.5. Near infrared observations from REM

REM (Rapid Eye Mount) is a 60 cm diameter fast reacting telescope located at La Silla, Chile. The telescope has two instruments: REMIR, an infrared imaging camera, and ROSS, a visible imager and slitless spectrograph (Zerbi et al., 2001; Chincarini et al., 2003; Covino et al., 2004b). PKS 1510-089 was observed by REM starting on 2012 January 25 (MJD 55951) during 28 nights. Typical exposure durations were of 30 s in the J, H, and Ks filters. The data were analysed in a standard way using tools provided by the ESO-Eclipse package (Devillard et al., 1997). Standard aperture photometry was derived and results calibrated by a suitable number of well-exposed 2MASS objects in the field.\(^8\)

5.6. Optical polarimetry observations from Liverpool Telescope

RINGO-2 is a fast readout imaging polarimeter mounted in the fully robotic 2-m Liverpool Telescope at Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma. RINGO2 uses a hybrid V+R filter, consisting of a 3mm Schott GG475 filter cemented to a 2mm KG3 filter. PKS 1510-089 was observed as part of a monitoring program and started on 2012 January 19 (MJD 55945) with rather sparse sampling, but after 2012 February 21 (MJD 55978) the source was observed every night, weather and moon conditions allowing. The data were reduced as described in Aleksic et al. (2014) using a data reduction pipeline written for the monitoring program. Inspection of the data revealed that due to the combination of bright Moon, partial cloud coverage and low average polarization of PKS 1510-089, the signal-to-noise (S/N) was very low during many nights and no significant polarization was detected. In order to improve the S/N we averaged observations over 5-day bins by first averaging Q/I and U/I and then computing the unbiased degree of polarization p\(_0\) and its error as in Aleksic et al. (2014) with the difference that the error of EVPA was computed using the confidence intervals in Nashizadeh-Khouei & Clarke (1993), which are better suited for low S/N data than the σ(EVPA) = 28.65 + σrp/p formula used in Aleksic et al. (2014). Because the EVPA has a ±180° × n (where n = 1, 2, ) ambiguity, we selected the values so that the differences between any two points were minimized.

5.7. Results

The optical-UV and polarisation light curves from 2012 January to April (MJD 55952-56025) are shown in Fig. 6. The light curves show an increasing flux peaking at near-IR to UV wavelengths on 2012 February 25 (MJD 55982), reaching a maximum flux of 2.23±0.39 mJy in the R-band. After the peak the general trend of the light curves is decreasing, but the R-band light curve shows three minor “flares” peaking on 2012 March 1, March 5 and March 13 (MJD 55987, 55990 and 55999). These “flares” are not covered in other wavelengths. The fluxes ranged by about 5 mJy(Ks), 1.5 mJy (R) and 0.2 mJy (UVW1). Hence, the source variability amplitude decreases as the frequency increases, as is usually found in FSRQs. This can be explained by the accretion disk emission diluting the UV emission from the jet (e.g. Raiteri et al. 2008, 2012).

The optical polarisation degree was generally low (< 10\(^\circ\)) during January-April 2012 compared to previous observations (e.g. Marscher et al., 2013). Therefore the error bars of the measurements are rather large. The EVPA showed three rotations of > 180\(^\circ\). The first one started in the beginning of the campaign and ended around 2012 February 20 (MJD 55977), see the vertical line in Fig. 6. The rotation was ~380\(^\circ\), with a rotation rate of ~10\(^{-4}\)/day in counter-clockwise direction. The visual appearance of the rotation curve is rather smooth, but is rather poorly sampled between January 29 and February 13 (MJD 55955 and 55970). The second rotation started on February 20 (MJD 55977) and ended on February 25 (MJD 55982), lasting only 5 days. The rotation is ~ 250 degrees and the direction is opposite to the first rotation (i.e. clockwise). After these two rotations the EVPA was stable at ~0° until March 7 (MJD 55993) when the third rotation started in a counter-clockwise direction and ended around March 14 (MJD 56000) at ~ 150\(^\circ\). On March 22 (MJD 56008) it dropped to ~ 80\(^\circ\) and remained stable until the end of the campaign.

The comparison of these rotations with the photometric light curve and polarisation degree behaviour shows that the first rotation takes place during an increase in the optical flux. The second rotation starts when there is a small dip in the optical R-band light curve and a local minimum in the polarisation degree. The rotation stops when the optical flare peaks. The third rotation starts with a small optical outburst and stops when the decay phase of the optical flare has reached a plateau.

We constructed SEDs from infrared to UV for four distinct epochs: 2012 February 7 (MJD 55964, before the outburst), February 24 (MJD 55981, peak of the outburst), March 1 (MJD 55987, second local maxima in the R-band light curve) and March 26 (MJD 56012, quiescent state after the outbursts), shown in Fig. 7. A softening of the SED from the pre-burst epoch to the epoch of outburst maxima is clearly visible. In the first and last SEDs, taken before and after the outburst, the thermal contributions from the accretion disk are again clearly visible as a strong increasing trend in the optical and UV bands. This behaviour was also seen for the 2009 outburst reported in D’Ammando et al. (2011).
**Fig. 6.** Light curves of PKS 1510-089 in the ultraviolet, optical and near infrared bands. The optical polarisation degree (top) and angle (second from top) of PKS 1510-089. The next panels show ultraviolet (“Swift/UVOT, middle), optical (KVA/GASP/UVOT, second from bottom) and near infrared (REM and GASP, bottom) light curves of the source. The numbers in the second from the top panel refers to the rotations of the EVPA discussed in the text. Vertical line indicates the time when the PA changes by $\sim 90^\circ$ between the highlighted point and the previous point (see text). The fluxes are given in mJy and are not corrected for Galactic absorption.

### 6. Radio observations, data analysis and results

PKS 1510-089 is part of the numerous blazar radio monitoring programs extending from 2.6 GHz to 230 GHz by F-GAMMA, Medicina, UMRAO, OVRO, Metsähovi, VLBA and the Submillimeter Array. The observations collected for this paper are presented in sections 6.1-6.7 and the results discussed in 6.8.

#### 6.1. Submillimeter Array

The 230 GHz (1.3 mm) light curve was obtained at the Submillimeter Array (SMA) on Mauna Kea (Hawaii). The SMA is an 8-element interferometer, consisting of 6 m dishes that may be arranged into configurations with baselines as long as 509 m, producing a synthesized beam of sub-arcsecond width. PKS 1510-089 is included in an ongoing monitoring program at the SMA to determine the fluxes of compact extragalactic radio sources that can be used as calibrators at mm wavelengths (Gurwell et al., 2007). Observations of available potential calibrators are usually observed for 3 to 5 minutes, and the measured source signal strength calibrated against known standards, typically solar system objects (Titan, Uranus, Neptune, or Callisto). Data from this program are updated regularly and are available at the SMA website[^10].

[^10]: http://sma1.sma.hawaii.edu/callist/callist.html
the GASP-WEBT campaign. Observations were done as part of the regular monitoring program and to post-outburst (MJD 55967) and to post-outburst (MJD 55987) and to post-outburst (MJD 56012) phase of the light curves. The data are corrected for galactic absorption using Schlegel et al. (1998).

6.2. Metsähovi Radio Telescope

The 37 GHz observations were made with the 13.7 m diameter Metsähovi radio telescope, which is a radome enclosed paraboloid antenna situated in Finland. The measurements were made with a 1 GHz-band dual beam receiver centered at 36.8 GHz. The beamwidth is 2.4 arcmin. The high electron mobility pseudomorphic transistor front end operates at room temperature. The observations were performed in an ON–ON configuration alternating the source in each feed horn, with the second horn observing the sky. The flux density scale was set by observations of calibrator DR 21. The sources NGC 7027, 3C 274 and 3C 84 were used as secondary calibrators. A detailed description of the data reduction and analysis is given in Teräsranta et al. (1998). The error estimate in the flux density includes contributions from the measurement rms and the uncertainty of the absolute calibration. The PKS 1510-089 observations were done as part of the regular monitoring program and the GASP-WEBT campaign.

6.3. Owens Valley Radio Observatory

Regular 15 GHz observations of PKS 1510-089 were carried out as part of a high-cadence γ-ray blazar monitoring program using the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) 40 m telescope in Owens Valley, California (Richards et al. 2011). This program, which commenced in late 2007, now includes about 1800 sources, each observed with a nominal twice per week cadence.

The OVRO 40 m uses off-axis dual-beam optics and a cryogenic high electron mobility transistor low-noise amplifier with a 15.0 GHz center frequency and 3 GHz bandwidth. The telescope and receiver combination produces a pair of approximately Gaussian beams (157 arcsec full width half maximum (FWHM)), separated in azimuth by 12.95 arcmins. The total system noise temperature is about 52 K, including receiver, atmosphere, ground, and CMB contributions. The two sky beams were Dicke switched using the off-source beam as a reference, and the source is alternated between the two beams in an ON–ON fashion to remove atmospheric and ground contamination.

A noise level of approximately 3–4 mJy in quadrature with about 2% additional uncertainty, mostly due to pointing errors, is achieved in a 70 s integration period. Calibrations were performed using a temperature-stable diode noise source to remove receiver gain drifts and the flux density scale was derived from observations of 3C 286 assuming the value of 3.44 Jy at 15.0 GHz. The systematic uncertainty of about 5% in the flux density scale is not included in the error bars. Complete details of the reduction and calibration procedure are found in Richards et al. (2011).

6.4. F-GAMMA program

The cm/mm radio light curves of PKS 1510–089 have been obtained within the framework of a Fermi-GST related monitoring program of γ-ray blazars (F-Gamma program, Fuhrmann et al. 2007; Angelakis et al. 2008). The overall frequency range spans from 2.64 GHz to 142 GHz using the Effelsberg 100 m located in Ahrgebirge, Germany and IRAM 30 m located in Pico Veleta in the Spanish Sierra Nevada telescopes. The Effelsberg measurements were conducted with the secondary focus heterodyne receivers at 2.64, 4.85, 8.35, 10.45, 14.60, 23.05, 32.00 and 43.00 GHz. The observations were performed quasi-simultaneously with cross-scans, slewing in azimuth and elevation across the source position with an adaptive number of sub-scans until the desired sensitivity is reached (for details, see Fuhrmann et al. 2008; Angelakis et al. 2008). Consequently, pointing off-set correction, gain correction, atmospheric opacity correction and sensitivity correction have been applied to the data.

The IRAM 30-m observations were carried out with calibrated cross-scans using the new EMIR horizontal and vertical polarisation receivers operating at 86.2 and 142.3 GHz. The opacity corrected intensities were converted into the standard temperature scale and finally corrected for small remaining pointing offsets and systematic gain-elevation effects. The conversion to the standard flux density scale was done using the instantaneous conversion factors derived from frequently observed primary (Mars, Uranus) and secondary (W3(OH), K3-50A, NGC 7027) calibrators.

6.5. UMRAO

Centimeter band total flux density observations were obtained with the University of Michigan Radio Observatory (UMRAO) 26-meter paraboloid located in Dexter, Michigan, USA. The instrument is equipped with transistor-based radiometers operating at frequencies centered at 4.8, 8.0, and 14.5 GHz with bandwidths of 0.68, 0.79, and 1.68 GHz, respectively. Dual horn feed systems are used at 8 and 14.5 GHz, while at 4.8 GHz a single-horn, mode-switching receiver is employed. Each observation consisted of a series of 8 to 16 individual measurements over approximately a 25 to 45 minute time period, utilizing an ON-OFF observing technique at 4.8 GHz, and an ON-ON technique (switching the target source between the two feed horns which are closely spaced on the sky) at 8.0 and 14.5 GHz. As part of the observing procedure, drift scans were made across strong sources to verify the telescope pointing correction curves, and observations of nearby calibrators were obtained every 1 to 2 hours to correct for temporal changes in the antenna aperture efficiency. The PKS 1510-089 observations were done as part...
Fig. 8. The 15 GHz, 37 GHz and 43 GHz VLBA core long-term light curves from MJD 55750 (2011, July 8) to MJD 56030 (2012, April 13). The flux of the VLBA core at 43 GHz traces the shape of the 37 GHz light curve very closely, indicating that the major part of the total flux originates from there. The symbols at the bottom of the plot show the zero separation epochs with the error bars of the components K11 and K12 from the 43 GHz VLBA core (see text).

Fig. 9. The high frequency (top), medium frequency (middle) and low frequency (bottom) light curves from SMA, Metsähovi, OVRO, UMRAO, Medicina and F-GAMMA program for the campaign period.
Islands. VLBA observations were performed as a part of the campaign from Mauna Kea in Hawaii to St. Croix in the U.S. Virgin Islands. The Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) is a system of ten radio-telescope antennas, each with a dish 25 m in diameter located km from Bologna, Italy, performing observations at both 5 and 8.4 GHz. Very Long Baseline Array 11. Medicina

The Medicina telescope is a 32 m parabolic antenna located 30 km from Bologna, Italy, performing observations at both 5 and 8.4 GHz. FWHM Beamwidth is 38.7 arcmin/frequency (GHz). We used the new Enhanced Single-dish Control System acquisition system, which provides enhanced sensitivity and supports observations with the cross scan technique. All observations were performed at both 5 and 8.4 GHz; the typical on source time is 1.5 minutes and the flux density was calibrated with respect to 3C 286. PKS 1510–089 was observed during 2012 January–April as part of the regular monitoring program and the GASP-WEBT campaign.

6.6. Medicina

The Medicina telescope is a 32 m parabolic antenna located 30 km from Bologna, Italy, performing observations at both 5 and 8.4 GHz. FWHM Beamwidth is 38.7 arcmin/frequency (GHz). We used the new Enhanced Single-dish Control System acquisition system, which provides enhanced sensitivity and supports observations with the cross scan technique. All observations were performed at both 5 and 8.4 GHz; the typical on source time is 1.5 minutes and the flux density was calibrated with respect to 3C 286. PKS 1510–089 was observed during 2012 January–April as part of the regular monitoring program and the GASP-WEBT campaign.

6.7. Very Long Baseline Array

The Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) is a system of ten radio-telescope antennas, each with a dish 25 m in diameter located from Mauna Kea in Hawaii to St. Croix in the U.S. Virgin Islands. VLBA observations were performed as a part of the Boston University γ-ray blazar monitoring program at 43 GHz. The observations were carried out with the VLBA recording system using eight 8 MHz wide channels, each in right and left circular polarization, with 15–20 scans of 3–5 minute duration. All 10 antennas were used except at epochs affected by weather or receiver failure. The observations are performed about once per month. The data were reduced and modelled in the same manner as described in Jorstad et al. (2003, 2007). In short: the initial correlation was carried out at the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) Array Operations Center in Socorro, New Mexico and subsequent calibration was performed with the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) software supplied by NRAO, while images were made with the Caltech software DIFMAP. These calibration included application of the nominal antenna-based gain curves and system temperatures, as well as correction for sky opacity, followed by iterative imaging plus phase and amplitude self-calibration. The flux-density correction factors from Jorstad et al. (2003) were used for the final adjustment of the flux-density scale in the images. In addition to the kinematics of the jet, the total polarisation data and the polarisation of the VLBA core were also analysed. Also these analysis followed the methods in Jorstad et al. (2003).

6.8. Results

In autumn 2011 PKS 1510–089 showed extremely high cm- and mm-band radio flux (Nestoras et al., 2011; Orienti et al., 2011; Beaklini et al., 2011). The outburst peaked first at higher frequencies, the peak at 37 GHz was reached around 2011 October 21 (MJD 55855) and at 15 GHz on ~ 2011 December 15 (MJD 55910, see Fig. 8). After the maximum was reached the two radio light curves showed decreasing flux. However, there are several small “outbursts” visible in the both light curves peaking at 2012 January 20 and February 25 (MJD 55946 and 55982) at 15 GHz. The last outburst at 15 GHz appears to be a sum of two outbursts seen at 37 GHz peaking at 2012 February 8 and February 25 (MJD 55965 and 55982).

Fig. 8 shows radio light curves from all frequencies from the observing campaign period. In the lowest frequencies (2–8 GHz) there is very little variability while at higher frequencies variability is clearly present in all frequencies, but the rather sparse sampling does not allow us to identify outbursts from other than 15 GHz and 37 GHz light curves.

The radio spectral evolution from 2012 January 28 to April 17 (MJD 55954 to 56034) is shown in Fig. 10. In the four first spectra at low frequencies the dominating component is the de-
caying major outburst. At higher frequencies the new outburst is visible at MJD 55990 and it then moves to lower frequencies. In the last two spectra this outburst is visible as a flattening of the spectra and increasing flux. Both outbursts follow the typical spectral evolution of radio outbursts. In the initial (growth) stage, the synchrotron self-absorption turnover frequency decreases and the turnover flux density increases. In the second (plateau) stage, the turnover frequency decreases while the turnover flux density remains roughly constant. During the third (decay) stage both turnover frequency and flux density decrease. The behaviour is in agreement with the three stage evolution of the shock-in-jet model of Marscher & Gear (1985): in the first stage the Inverse Compton losses dominate, in the second the synchrotron losses and in the third the adiabatic losses.

The VLBA 43 GHz images reveal a new component (named K11) corresponding to the major radio outburst of autumn 2011 appearing in 2011 December as already reported in Orienti et al. (2013) using the MOJAVE 15 GHz data (see Fig. 11). The apparent speed of the component, \(19.34 \pm 1.85 \text{c} \), and the zero separation epoch 2011 October 29 (MJD 55864±12) agree with the ones derived by Orienti et al. (2013). In 2012 April there was a second new component appearing in the images (named K12). It had an apparent speed of \(16.26 \pm 2.43 \text{c} \) and a time of ejection of 2012 February 3 (MJD 55961±15) (see Fig. 11). The zero separation epochs of these components agree very well with the local maxima in the 37 GHz light curve according to the general trend found in Savolainen et al. (2005). The VLBA polarisation data showed in general a rather low polarisation of the core (1-3%) compared to the historical values from Jorstad et al. (2002). The observed EVPAs of the core between 2012 January and April was between -10° and 25°. The sparse sampling does not allow us to trace possible rotations of the EVPAs, but as shown in Fig. 12 the EVPAs of the VLBA core stay very close of those of the optical emission.

7. Multifrequency light curves

Fig. 13 shows the MAGIC, Fermi-LAT, AGILE-GRID, Swift, optical and radio polarisation, R-band photometry and 37 GHz light curves of PKS 1510-089 in February-April 2012. The Fermi-LAT light curve showed three distinct flares: flare I (2012 January 29 to February 13, MJD~55955-55970), flare II (2012 February 23 to March 9, MJD~55900-55995) and flare III (2012 March 14 to March 19, MJD~56000-56005). Additionally there was minor flare between flare I and II. During these flares the Fermi-LAT photon index and VHE \(\gamma\)-ray flux remained rather unchanged. The flares were all characterized by different multifrequency behaviour at lower energies. The first \(\gamma\)-ray outburst coincided with an X-ray peak. The first and second \(\gamma\)-ray flares were accompanied by quasi simultaneous flares in 37 GHz radio. During the first outburst there was also a rotation of the EVPAs of > 180 degrees. This outburst also coincided with the zero separation epoch of new knot from the 43 GHz VLBA core (see Section 6.8).

During the second \(\gamma\)-ray flare there was an optical outburst and in the very beginning a second rotation of the EVPAs with > 180 degrees, but this rotation had a very short duration and it was in the opposite direction from the first one. During this rotation there was also a local minimum of the polarisation degree, and this rotation looks very similar to the one observed in 3C 279 during the \(\gamma\)-ray event seen by Fermi-LAT in 2009 April (Abdo et al. 2010). However, while the optical flux started to decrease, the \(\gamma\)-ray flare continued and the optical polarisation degree started to increase.

After these events the EVPAs stayed constant until the third rotation started apparently simultaneously with the third outburst in the \(\gamma\)-ray light curve. During the outburst the degree of polarisation stayed constant. There was a gap in the 37 GHz light curve, however the emission level was similar before and after the gap.

The overall outbursting event had several similarities to the \(\gamma\)-ray flaring event in 2009 discussed in Marscher et al. (2010): Abdo et al. (2010), D’Ammando et al. (2011): ejection of the knot from the VLBA core, accompanied activity in the millimeter wavelengths and the rotation of the optical polarisation angle. However, there are also some differences: there was no preceding \(\gamma\)-ray flare, but the activity in radio and \(\gamma\)-rays started simultaneously. Also the observed rotation of the optical polarisation angle was shorter in duration (~ 30 days) and the rotation was only ~ 180° instead of > 720° seen in 2009.

Marscher et al. (2010) interpreted the 2009 outburst in terms of the phenomenological model presented for BL Lactae in Marscher et al. (2008). In this model the rotation of the polarisation angle is caused by a moving emission feature following a spiral path as it propagates through the toroidal magnetic field of the acceleration and collimation zones. The emission feature passes the 43 GHz VLBA core, interpreted as a standing conical shock (Marscher et al. 2008), which compresses the knot. The synchrotron flares occur when the energization of the electrons increases suddenly while the \(\gamma\)-ray flares with very weak optical counterparts are produced by an increase of the local seed photon field in optical and IR wavelengths. The same scheme can be adopted to the MW light curve discussed here: flare 1 takes place as the emission feature passes the core while flare 2 is caused by the sudden energization of the electrons of the emission feature and flare 3 by the sudden increase in the local seed photon field.

Unlike the millimeter, optical and HE \(\gamma\) rays, the X-rays did not show strong variability. The X-ray light curve showed a general shape similar to that of the HE \(\gamma\)-ray light curve. However, gaps and the small amplitude of variability in the X-ray light curve, does not allow us to draw a strong conclusion on the connection. The X-ray spectrum was hard, as in the previous observations (D’Ammando et al. 2011, Kataoka et al. 2008), which is a signature of a hard electron population with slope 1.6-2.0. The observed properties are in agreement with the conclusion of Kataoka et al. (2008) that the X-ray spectrum is a result of Comptonization of infrared radiation produced by hot dust located in the surrounding molecular torus.

As discussed in section 5.7, the behaviour of the optical polarisation electric vector position angle during the observing campaign was particularly interesting showing three distinct rotations of > 180 degrees. In addition to PKS 1510-089

---

**Fig. 12.** The radio and optical polarisation behaviour of PKS 1510-089 in February-April 2012.
such rotations have been reported for 3C 279 in coincidence with γ-ray flaring events (Larionov et al., 2008; Abdo et al., 2010; Aleksić et al., 2011b, 2014). In these papers the rotations have been interpreted as a signature of the geometry, in particular as caused by a bent trajectory that the moving emission feature is following. For 3C 279 the rotations have been changing direction between epochs, which was interpreted as a signature of an actual bend in the jet (Abdo et al., 2010; Nalewajko et al., 2010). However, here the second rotation was very fast, the rotations took place very close in time and the multifrequency data suggests that a major part of the emission would originate from one single emission region. Therefore, a bend does not appear a likely explanation for the change of the direction of the rotations.

As discussed in Marscher et al. (2010, and references therein), the rotations can also be explained by a turbulent magnetic field within the emission region where cells with random magnetic field orientations enter and exit the emission region.
causing a random walk of the resultant polarisation vector and apparent rotation. For rotations caused by the turbulent magnetic field both directions should be as likely and they should occur at random times. Additionally the appearance of the rotations caused by turbulence is not very smooth. Turbulence as a possible cause of the rotations is favored by the fact that the rotations with different directions took place very close in time. According to Marsched (2014) such rotations are expected when turbulent plasma flows at relativistic speeds down a jet and crosses the standing shock.

In summary we conclude that the multifrequency light curves show compelling evidence that the emission during this flaring epoch is dominated by a moving emission feature located close to the VLBA 43 GHz core. The complicated flaring pattern, showing variable synchrotron to Compton ratios and different timescales in different wavebands, suggest that additionally both the emission region and the underlying jet might have some substructures.

8. Spectral Energy Distribution

We construct the SED for PKS 1510-089 combining the radio data from F-GAMMA and Metsävuo with infrared, optical and ultraviolet data from REM, GASBWEBT and UVOT, X-ray data from Swift-XRT and γ-ray data from Fermi-LAT and MAGIC. The radio to X-ray data are quasi-simultaneous taken from 2012 March 1 to March 4 (MJD 55987-55990) while the Fermi-LAT data cover the main MAGIC observation periods (2012 February 19 to March 5 and March 15 to April 3, MJD 55976-55991 and MJD 56001-56020) and AGILE data the period from 2012 February 20 to March 5 (MJD 55977.5-55991.5).

The SED of FSRQs are conventionally modelled with a small emission region close to the central engine, in regions where the dense radiation field generated by the direct and reprocessed accretion disk emission is thought to provide the ideal environment for efficient inverse Compton emission (Dermer & Schlickeiser 1994; Sikora et al. 1992). There is, however, growing evidence that, at least in some objects and/or at some epochs, the emission could occur far downstream in the jet (Sikora et al. 2008; Marscher et al. 2008; Aleksic et al. 2011a).

For PKS 1510-089 at the epoch analysed here, the multifrequency light curves and the ejection of a new component from the 43 GHz VLBA core point to the co-spatial siting of the γ-ray and millimeter flaring activity. Since the inner regions of the jet are highly opaque to low frequency photons through synchrotron self-absorption (as indeed observed for the great majority of FSRQ, e.g. Giommi et al. 2012), the γ-ray and millimeter emission region has to be located farther out in the jet, at distances at which the jet is transparent at radio frequencies. Another compelling indication that the γ rays are not produced very close to the nucleus is that, in this case, one would expect a strong depression of the emission above ∼ 20 GeV due to absorption through interactions with the UV-optical emission of the BLR clouds (e.g. Donea & Protheroe 2003; Sitarek & Bednarek 2008; Tavecchio & Mazzin 2009; Poutanen & Stern 2010). Instead, the combined Fermi-LAT and MAGIC γ-ray spectrum does not show signatures of strong absorption, but a smooth log parabola shape. This is similar to what was observed for PKS 1222+216 (Aleksic et al. 2011a) and for other few other FSRQ whose LAT spectrum extends well above 10-20 GeV, supporting the idea of emission occurring beyond the BLR radius (Pacciani et al. 2012; Tavecchio et al. 2013).

The simultaneous millimeter and γ-ray light curves show similar variability patterns on a weekly time scales, and are therefore consistent with a large dominating emission region, \( R \sim c t \delta \delta = 2 \times 10^{17} (\delta/10) \) cm. The low compactness implied by such large dimensions makes the synchrotron self-Compton process, in which the seed photons are produced in the jet via synchrotron radiation (e.g. Maraschi et al. 1992), highly inefficient. It is thus unable to produce the observed γ-ray emission (see e.g. Lindfors et al. 2008 for the case of 3C 279), and therefore the seed photons for inverse Compton scattering must be provided by some external field.

The radiative environment for the jet in PKS 1510-089 is schematically described in Fig. 14, which reports the energy density of the external radiation in the jet comoving frame as a function of the distance from the central engine. Two components are considered, namely the emission of the BLR clouds in the innermost regions (blue), and the contribution provided by the thermal emission of dust organized in the molecular torus, at larger scales (red). The external energy density is assumed to be constant within the corresponding radius of the emitting structure, \( r_{BLR} \) and \( r_{FIR} \), for the BLR and the torus respectively, and shows a rapid decline beyond it. The detailed geometry and extension of the BLR and of the infrared torus are still under debate, but values typically adopted for the extensions are of order 0.1 – 1 parsec and 1 – 5 parsecs, respectively. Nalewajko et al. (2012) estimated that for PKS 1510-089 these values are significantly smaller, \( r_{BLR} = 0.07 \) pc and \( r_{torus} = 3.2 \) pc. The curves in Fig. 14 have been calculated following Ghisellini & Tavecchio (2009), who provide simple scaling laws for the dimensions of the BLR and the torus, depending only on the accretion disk luminosity, \( L_{disk} \). In the literature there are several estimates of the
disk luminosity (Celotti et al. 1997; Nalewajko et al. 2012), all in the range $3 \sim 7 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. In the following we assume $L_{\text{disk}} = 6.7 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, as inferred from the observed “blue bump” traced by UVOT. With the adopted $L_{\text{disk}}$ the estimates of Ghisellini & Tavecchio (2009) provide $r_{\text{BLR}} = 0.086$ pc and $r_{\text{Torus}} = 2.15$ pc.

We reproduced the observed SED by assuming that the emission region (blob) is filled with electrons following a smoothed broken power law energy distribution with normalization $K$ between $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{max}}$, with slopes $n_1$ and $n_2$ below and above the break at $\gamma_b$ as in Tavecchio et al. (1998) and Maraschi et al. (2003). We assume a conical geometry for the jet, characterized by a semi-aperture angle $\theta_j = 0.1^\circ$ (Forstand et al. 2005). Electrons emit through synchrotron and IC mechanisms. The relative importance of the different target photon populations for the IC scattering in the Thomson regime is related to the relative level of the corresponding energy densities, which depends on the distance along the jet as reported by Fig. 14.

The observational evidence discussed above (co-spatiality of $\gamma$-ray and millimeter emission and transparency to GeV photons) allow us to locate the emission region outside the BLR but do not provide a clear upper limit for its distance. We first tried (case a) to reproduce the SED finding a solution which minimizes the distance from the central engine. The SED is successfully reproduced (Fig. 15) assuming that the emission occurs at a distance of $r \sim 1$ pc, i.e. within the torus. As a consistency check, we infer the run of the optical depth at 37 GHz (green line in Fig. 14) with the distance (for a conical geometry the scaling laws $B \propto r^{-1}$, $K \propto r^{-3}$ can be assumed, and adopting, B, K and R, of the case a model), confirming that the emission region is indeed characterized by $\tau_{37\text{GHz}} < 1$ as required by the correlation observed in the light curves. The grey and the yellow areas in Fig. 14 indicate the opaque and the transparent millimeter regions.

For PKS 1510-089 there are measurements of the distance of the VLBA core from the central engine. Pushkarev et al. (2012) used the core shift measurements to locate the 15 GHz VLBA core at $\sim 17.7$ parsecs from the central engine. Using the speed and core shift measurement from that paper gives a distance of $\sim 6.5$ parsecs for the 43 GHz core. At this distance, the infrared torus no longer provides a strong enough source of seed photons for the IC process inverse Compton scattering. Marscher et al. (2010) suggest that the dilemma could be solved if the jet is surrounded by slow sheath providing seed photons for inverse Compton scattering. We test this scenario (case b) by assuming that the emission blob is surrounded by a sheath with $\Gamma = 2.2$, so that the radiative field is amplified in the emitting region by a doppler factor $\delta = 3.7$ (assuming a viewing angle 2.8$^\circ$ and $\Gamma = 20$ for the blob). The fit to the SED is presented in Fig. 15: the orange dashed line represents the observed emission from the modelled sheath, which would be negligible compared to the jet emission and therefore not directly observed. We therefore conclude that, from the point of view of the radiative properties, this scenario is also feasible.

We find that both models provide an acceptable fit to the data and the resulting model parameters are given in Table 1. However, we note that this SED represent an average emission state, since the data have been collected over a few days, and do not account for the rapid ($\sim 1$ hour) variability of the $\gamma$-ray emission as measured by the Fermi-LAT (Saito et al. 2013; Foschini et al. 2013). As proposed by Marscher et al. (2010) (see also Marscher 2014; Narayan & Piran 2012), such rapid flickering could indicate the presence of relativistic turbulent motion within the flow. In this framework, radiation from single, small, turbulent cells is occasionally observed, while the long-term emission is the result of the integrated emission over all of the active jet volume. More detailed simulations along the lines of Marscher (2014) are required to investigate this scenario in detail.

9. Summary and Discussion

In this paper we report the detection of VHE $\gamma$ rays from PKS 1510-089 by the MAGIC telescopes in February-April 2012. The VHE $\gamma$-ray flux and spectrum are comparable to those observed from the source in March-April 2009 by the H.E.S.S. telescopes (Abramowski et al. 2013). During the MAGIC observations the source was in a high state in the $\gamma$-ray band, showing significant variability, but the VHE $\gamma$-ray light curve does not reveal significant variability. This is in agreement with the result of Abramowski et al. (2013).

We perform a detailed multifrequency study of the source during January-April 2012 extending for the first time from radio to VHE $\gamma$-rays. In summary we find that:

1. The HE and VHE $\gamma$-ray spectra connect smoothly, therefore we conclude that VHE $\gamma$-ray emission and the HE $\gamma$-ray emission originate from a single emission region located outside the broad line region.

2. The VHE $\gamma$-ray observations by MAGIC missed the times of the hour scale variability observed in the HE $\gamma$-ray band and the MAGIC light curve does not show significant variability in daily or weekly time scales. However, the HE $\gamma$-ray variability indicates that within the larger emission region, there must exist more compact emission regions producing the fast variability. The model of Marscher (2014), in which turbulent plasma flowing at a relativistic speed down the jet and crossing a standing shock, would naturally lead to such behaviour. We note that, the fast variability could also extend to the VHE $\gamma$-ray band, even if the observations presented here did not detect it.

3. The common variability patterns seen in the HE $\gamma$ ray and 37 GHz light curves as well as the concurrent ejection of a new component from the 43 GHz VLBA core support this emission scenario. We also identify several $\sim 180^\circ$ rotations of the optical polarisation angle, which have been suggested to relate to such events (Marscher et al. 2008).

4. The SED can be modelled with a one-zone external Compton model for both studied cases, namely: the seed photons originating from the infrared torus and the seed photons originating from a slow sheath of the jet. The latter model is favored if the VLBA core is as distant from the central engine as suggested by Marscher et al. (2010), Pushkarev et al. (2012).

However, there are other alternatives for the source of seed photons and for the fast variability:

- Leon-Tavares et al. (2013) suggested that the relativistic jet could drag the broad line region clouds to greater distances from the central engine and the VLBA radio core could be surrounded by such clouds. This would be manifested by a brightening of the broad emission lines in the optical monitoring of the spectral lines. We have no such data for our campaign, but we note that this additional seed photon population is not required to reproduce our data.

- It was proposed in Giannios (2013) that additional flickering can be explained by the jet-in-jet model even if the emission region is far out in the jet. In this scheme long-term flares are the result of the “envelope” emission of magnetic
Fig. 15. The SED of PKS 1510-089 in February-April 2012 as observed by F-GAMMA and Metsähovi (magenta triangles), GASP-WEBT (blue filled circles), Swift-UVOT and XRT (red filled circles), Fermi-LAT (black filled circles), AGILE-GRID (green triangles) and MAGIC (cyan, observed; red, EBL corrected). Left: The solid black curve shows the overall emission modelled, where the high energy bump is dominated by the external Compton mechanism, using the infrared torus (long dashed line) photons as seed photons (case a). The short dashed line is the thermal component from the accretion disk. Right: The black curve shows the model assuming that the emission region is located at the radio core (case b). The orange dashed line shows the additional external photon field representing the slow sheath of the jet.

Table 1. Model parameters for the two SED models: IR torus (external photons for IC scattering provided by the infrared torus) and sheath-spine (sheath providing the seed photons for the scattering). The following quantities are reported: the minimum, break, and maximum Lorentz factors and the low and high energy slope of the electron energy distribution, the magnetic field intensity, the electron density, the radius of the emitting region and the Doppler factor.

reconnection events in the jet, while short-term flares flag the random formation of “monster” blobs during the reconnection process. While the discussion in Giannios (2013) was suited for the case of PKS 1222+216, characterized by shorter timescales (both for the long-term modulation and the rapid flares), we expect that it might also be possible to reproduce the behaviour of PKS 1510-089 by generalising the model.

Since PKS 1510-089 has been active in γ-rays in the AGILE and Fermi-LAT era, there have been several other multifrequency studies. Brown et al. (2013) analysed the Fermi-LAT data concluding from the presence of > 20 GeV photons that multiple simultaneously active γ-ray emission regions are required. We find that the Fermi-LAT and MAGIC spectra connect smoothly suggesting a single emission region. These very fast spikes probably originate in a separate emission region, possibly embedded in the larger region producing the slower modulations of the radio and γ-ray light curves. Several emission sites were also suggested by Nalewajko et al. (2012), who concluded that the high energy cut-off (in the low state) of the main synchrotron component implies a two zone model, otherwise the requested external photon density is too high. Barnacka et al. (2013) reached similar conclusion and favored a two zone model for reproducing the VHE γ-ray emission observed by H.E.S.S. However, in our modelling, one emission region is sufficient to reproduce the average SED during the high state.

In addition to PKS 1510-089, only two other FSRQs have been detected in VHE γ-rays (3C 279 and PKS 1222+216). All detections have been made during a high state in the lower energy regimes and even during high activity in the HE γ-ray band, 3C 279 (Aleksić et al. 2014) and PKS 1222+216 (PKS1222+216 MWL paper) are detected in VHE γ-rays only during individual nights. The upper limits derived for these two sources from the non-detections are also below the detected flux. In this sense PKS 1510-089 is clearly different from the other two and follow up observations in lower HE γ-ray states should be performed in order to study if the source is a constant VHE γ-ray emitter like some of the high peaking BL Lac objects detected in VHE γ-rays (e.g. Aliu et al. 2012). However, VHE γ-ray detections from FSRQs imply that in all cases the emission takes place outside the BLR (Aleksić et al. 2011a,b). Further
observations are needed to study why in some cases we see extremely bright, fast flares of VHE γ-rays and in other cases (as in the case of PKS 1510-089) the emission of VHE γ-rays appears more stable.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias for the excellent working conditions at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos in La Palma. The support of the German BMFB and MPG, the Italian INFN, the Swiss National Fund SNF, and the Spanish MICINN is gratefully acknowledged. This work was also supported by the CPAN CSD2007-00042 and MultiDark CSD2009-00064 projects of the Spanish Comissioner-Ingenio 2010 programme; by grant DOG-253 of the Bulgarian NSF; by grant 127740 of the Academy of Finland, by the DFG Cluster of Excellence “Origin and Structure of the Universe”, by the DFG Collaborative Research Centers SFB823/C4 and SFB876/C3, by the Polish MNiSzW grant 745/N-HESS-MAGIC/2010 and by JSPS KAKENHI Grants numbers 24000044 and 25800185.

The Fermi-LAT Collaboration acknowledges generous ongoing support from a number of agencies and institutes that have supported both the development and the operation of the LAT as well as scientific data analysis. These include the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the Department of Energy in the United States, the Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique in France, the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), and the回调 of the Earth (KAS) in Japan, the European Organisation for Nuclear Research (CERN), the Agenzia Spaziale Italiana and the Centro Nazionale di Fusione in Italy, the Ministry of Science and Higher Education and the Foundation for Polish Science in Poland, the Foundation for Scientific Research and Development (BAS) in Bulgaria, and the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC) in Spain.

The Fermi-LAT Collaboration also gratefully acknowledges the following organizations for their support in addition to the agencies and institutes listed above: the Portuguese CERN/IPN, the Space Science Institute in Boulder and the University of Washington in the United States, the Finnish Academy, the Academy of Finland, the Galileo Foundation, the Hebrew University in Jerusalem, the Israel Science Foundation, the French Kavli Institute at theÉcole Normale Supérieure in Paris, the samsung Advanced Institute of Technology, the INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, the University of Geneva and the University of Zürich. Additional support for science analysis during the operations phase is gratefully acknowledged from the Instituto Nazionale di Astrofisica in Italy and the Centre National d’Études Spatiales in France.

References
Albert et al. (MAGIC Collaboration) 2008, Science, 320, 1752
Aleksić et al. 2014 (MAGIC Collaboration), submitted to A&A
Arnaud, K. A. 1996, ASPC, 101, 17
Barnacka, A.; Moderski, R.; Behera, B.; Brun, P.; Wagner, S., 2013, submitted to A&A
Beakline, P., Abraham Z., Donnici, T. 2011, The Astronomer’s Telescope 3799
Brown, A. M. 2013, MNRRS 431,824
Burrows, D. N., et al. 2005, SSRV, 120,165
Celotti, A.; Padovani, P.; Ghisellini, G. 1997, MNRRS, 286, 415
Cortina (on behalf of the MAGIC collaboration) 2012 ATel #3975
Covino, S., Zerbi, F. M., Chincarini, G., et al. 2004a, AN, 325, 543
Covino, S., Stefanov, M., Scinto, G. et al. 2004b, SPIE, 5492, 1613
De Caneva et al. (on behalf of the MAGIC collaboration) 2012, proceedings of the The 5th International Symposium on High-Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy, in press
Devillard, N. 1997, The Messenger, 87
Donnarumma & Protheroe 2003, APJ, 187
Ghisellini, G. et al. 1998, MNRRS, 301, 451
Giannios, D. 2013, MNRRS, 431, 355
Girlanda, A., Chen, A., Mereghetti, S. et al. 2004, Mem. SAIt Suppl. 5, 135

18
Table 2. PKS 1510-089 integral photon fluxes >100 MeV detected by AGILE-GRID during the MAGIC observation period in 2012. For comparison, we also report the values obtained in one of the typical low intermediate states of the source in 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integration period [MJD]</th>
<th>Energy bin [MeV]</th>
<th>Flux [ph cm(^{-2})s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>(\Gamma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLARE-I (7 days)</td>
<td>55952.5 - 55959.5</td>
<td>&gt; 100 (2.0 ± 0.5) \times 10(^{-6})</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLARE-II (14 days)</td>
<td>55977.5 - 55991.5</td>
<td>&gt; 100 (4.4 ± 0.5) \times 10(^{-6})</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSTFLARE (14 days)</td>
<td>55998.5 - 56012.5</td>
<td>&gt; 100 (1.8 ± 0.5) \times 10(^{-6})</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Intermediate state</td>
<td>55746.5 - 55803.5</td>
<td>&gt; 100 (9.1 ± 1.5) \times 10(^{-7})</td>
<td>2.44 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. PKS 1510-089 differential flux values (\(\nu F(\nu)\)) detected by AGILE-GRID in 2012 during the FLARE-II (see text). For comparison, we also report the values obtained in one of the typical low intermediate states of the source in 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integration period [MJD]</th>
<th>Energy bin [MeV]</th>
<th>(\nu) [Hz]</th>
<th>(\nu F(\nu)) [erg cm(^{-2})s(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLARE-II (14 days)</td>
<td>55977.5 - 55991.5</td>
<td>100 - 200</td>
<td>3.42 \times 10(^{-22}) (7.0 ± 1.1) \times 10(^{-10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 - 400</td>
<td>6.85 \times 10(^{-22}) (7.2 ± 1.3) \times 10(^{-10})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 - 10000</td>
<td>4.84 \times 10(^{-23}) (5.2 ± 1.1) \times 10(^{-10})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Intermediate state</td>
<td>55746.5 - 55803.5</td>
<td>100 - 200</td>
<td>3.42 \times 10(^{-22}) (1.7 ± 0.4) \times 10(^{-10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 - 400</td>
<td>6.85 \times 10(^{-22}) (1.7 ± 0.4) \times 10(^{-10})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 - 10000</td>
<td>4.84 \times 10(^{-23}) (6.4 ± 0.3) \times 10(^{-11})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparison of different spectral model for PKS 1510–089. The LogParabola model is significantly preferred with respect to the power law in all the time intervals considered for this analysis. \(a\): Flux (100 MeV - 300 GeV) is in units of \([10^{-6} \text{ ph cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}]\); \(b\): significance with which the LogParabola model has to be preferred w.r.t. the simple power law model (\(\sigma\) calculated as \([2(\text{Loglike}_{\text{par}} - \text{Loglike}_{\text{pl}})]^{1/2}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Interval</th>
<th>PowerLaw</th>
<th>LogParabola</th>
<th>(\sigma^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flux [MeV]</td>
<td>Index</td>
<td>(\Gamma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.02</td>
<td>12241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low state</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>19943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high state</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Log and fitting results of Swift/XRT observations of PKS 1510–089. Power law model with \(N_{\text{H}}\) fixed to Galactic absorption \((6.89 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2})\) is used. \(a\) Observed flux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Net Exp. Time (sec)</th>
<th>Photon Index</th>
<th>Flux 0.3–10.0 keV(^a) ([\times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}])</th>
<th>(\chi^2_{\text{red}}) (d.o.f.)</th>
<th>Cash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 02</td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.17</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 04</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.16</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.849 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 05</td>
<td>2655</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.16</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.003 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 07</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.16</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.9985 (14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 17</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.21</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 19</td>
<td>5781</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.09</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.9530 (39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 21</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.23</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.763 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 22</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.14</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.054 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 02 – 23</td>
<td>2989</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.13</td>
<td>9.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.8524 (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 03 – 01</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.18</td>
<td>10.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 03 – 18</td>
<td>3224</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.13</td>
<td>11.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.7695 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 03 – 20</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.17</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 03 – 22</td>
<td>2477</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.21</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.060 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 03 – 24</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.17</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 03 – 30</td>
<td>2695</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.13</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.005 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 04 – 01</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.14</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.7084(17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 04 – 03</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.15</td>
<td>9.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 04 – 05</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.20</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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